REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1 and 20-28, the closest prior art is Akatsu (JP H02-254013) which discloses a method for forming framing/sealing components to a window assembly. Akatsu discloses a procedure which forms a frame portion (2) and a stopper/holding portion (3, 4) onto a window plate by way of injection molding. (See figure 6 and claims of Akatsu). Prior to injection molding the frame portion and the stopper/holding portion, adhesive is applied to predetermined sites. (See claims and parts 6, 7 of figure 5). This adhesive is applied to each predetermined site independently. (See claims). Akatsu teaches one having the ordinary skill in the art that the adhesive is applied on each independent site individually in order to minimize the chance that adhesive is placed on a connection portion (8) between the frame portion and the stopper/holding portion. (See claims and part 8 of figure 1). In sum, Akatsu teaches the claimed invention except that the adhesive is applied to each predetermined site continuously as well as the specific application procedure claimed. However, Fisher (USP No. 5,544,458) teaches a similar injection molding method for applying framing/sealing structures onto a window assembly. Fisher teaches a similar method to Akatsu, on the fact that an adhesive is applied to the adhesion sites prior to injection molding. (See column 14 line 38 to column 15 line 46 of Fisher). Fisher uses a continuous adhesive application procedure to apply the primer (adhesive) layer prior to the molding operation. However, Fisher only discloses that this application

Application/Control Number: 10/563,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

procedure can be controlled by a robotic controlling device. Fisher fails to teach the

specific adhesive applying procedure seen in claims 1 and 28.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided

by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be

submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview

with Dan Tanner on April 21, 2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 11 has been canceled.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to AMJAD ABRAHAM whose telephone number is

(571)270-7058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00

AM to 5:00 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Phillip Tucker can be reached on (571) 272-1095. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AAA

/Philip C Tucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791